



Simulation
Australasia

2016 Awards

**In recognition of important contributions to simulation in
Australia and/or New Zealand**

Achievement Awards Assessment Form

Guidance

General

In the event of a conflict of interest between a judge and an entry in the competition, the entry will be assigned to only those judges without a conflict. Should a judge in his/her opinion believe that a fair, impartial judging of an entry cannot result be carried out, the judge shall excuse himself/herself from judging that entry.

Ray Page Lifetime Achievement Award

The Ray Page Lifetime Simulation Achievement Award is presented in recognition of an outstanding contribution, made over an extended period of time, to the advancement of modelling and simulation in Australia or New Zealand.

The award is named after the inaugural winner, Mr Ray Page, who spent his career advancing the use of flight simulators and leading the development of international standards whilst at Qantas, and then as the founding Chairman of the then Simulation Industry Association of Australia (SIAA).

This is a prestigious award, and is only presented if a candidate meeting the criteria is nominated. Therefore, when assessing nominations, judges need to be certain that the person nominated has clearly and unambiguously met the criteria defined in the Call for Nominations form, as expressed in the judging form.

The nomination form should address the criteria listed in the nomination checklist. In reviewing a nomination, each of the criteria should be assessed on a scale of 0 to 10, as shown below:

- Average 0 – 2
- Good 3 – 5
- Excellent 6 – 8
- Outstanding 9 – 10

For the Ray Page Award, it is expected that the majority of assessment scores will be in the “Outstanding” range.

Each of the criteria has a series of questions to assist the judge in scoring that criteria.

If a judge is uncertain regarding the information provided in respect of any criteria, and feels additional information is required, they should contact the Chair of the Awards Assessment Committee to request additional information. They **MUST NOT** contact either the nominator or nominee.

At most one Ray Page Award is made each year, therefore judges should make an overall assessment of the candidates and recommend which candidate, if any, should receive the award.

Achievement Award

With a few exceptions, the Achievement Award is judged using criteria common with the Ray Page award.

Whilst the award is made for contributions made over a lesser period of time, the standard used in assessing the nomination should nonetheless be kept high.

If the judges score a number of nominations at a high level, multiple awards may be granted. The granting of multiple awards is at the discretion of the Board of Simulation Australasia.



Ray Page Lifetime Achievement Award

NOMINEE:

Name of Assessor:

Period of Activity (not less than 20 years):

Citizenship: Nominee either citizen / permanent resident of Australia or New Zealand or work made has clearly and unambiguously benefitted Simulation within Australia and/or New Zealand

YES / NO

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----

Average 0–2, Good 3–5, Excellent 6–8, Outstanding 9–10

Vision: The degree to which the nominee has set out a unique and innovative vision for the future of simulation, and remained consistent to this vision over an extended period

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Evidence of a long-term, coherent set of goals

Evidence of a planned series of steps leading to achievement of the vision

Extent to which the vision is unique and innovative, and has led to new uses of simulation

Integrity: The degree to which the nominee has demonstrated personal integrity in carrying their vision

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Demonstration of overall ethical standards

Commitment to benefit others as opposed to acting in self interest

Integrity and consistency in working with collaborators, peers, employees, other related organisations, competitors

Environmental and social responsibility

Leadership: The degree to which the nominee has been able carry others with them in realising their vision

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Ability to work with diverse teams and bridge cultural gaps (culture may be nationality or profession based)

Ability to inspire commitment and respect

Seen by peers as a leader and role model

Ability to communicate and inspire others with the vision

Achievements: The importance of the contribution made by the nominee to each of the categories below:

Science: generating published peer-reviewed scientific research, including human factors research, related to simulation, that has broadened the use of simulation, or enhanced the effectiveness of simulation in Australia.

Technology: development and/or advancement of simulation related technologies and/or frameworks.

Policy: Development of management or policy principles and practices that have facilitated growth in the use of simulation.

Standards: Leadership in the development of nationally or internationally recognised standards that have led to increased use of simulation

Education: Development of educational theory or programs for education in simulation which have contributed to Australia's simulation capability, or publication of books or articles that share information.

Management and Leadership: Creation or growing of organisation(s) that have enhanced Australia's simulation capability, creating new directions and opening new fields for the use of simulation. This includes work that has promoted and grown the simulation community as a whole.

Community Contribution: The extent to which the nominee's work has benefited the broader community

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Overall Assessment: Recommended / Not Recommended

Signature and Date:



Simulation Achievement Award

NOMINEE:

Name of Assessor:

Period of Activity (not less than 5 years):

Citizenship: Nominee either citizen / permanent resident of Australia or New Zealand or work made has clearly and unambiguously benefitted Simulation within Australia and/or New Zealand

YES / NO

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----

Average 0 – 2, Good 3 – 5, Excellent 6 – 8, Outstanding 9 – 10

Vision: The degree to which the nominee has set out a unique and innovative vision for the future of simulation, and remained consistent to this vision over an extended period

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Evidence of a long-term, coherent set of goals

Evidence of a planned series of steps leading to achievement of the vision

Extent to which the vision is unique and innovative, and has led to new uses of simulation

Integrity: The degree to which the nominee has demonstrated personal integrity in carrying their vision forward

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Demonstration of overall ethical standards

Commitment to benefit others as opposed to acting in self interest

Integrity and consistency in working with collaborators, peers, employees, other related organisations, competitors

Environmental and social responsibility

Leadership: The degree to which the nominee has been able carry others with them in realising their vision

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Ability to work with diverse teams and bridge cultural gaps (culture may be nationality or profession based)

Ability to inspire commitment and respect

Seen by peers as a leader and role model

Ability to communicate and inspire others with the vision

Achievements: The importance of the contribution made by the nominee to each of the categories below:

Science: generating published peer-reviewed scientific research, including human factors research, related to simulation, that has broadened the use of simulation, or enhanced the effectiveness of simulation in Australia.

Technology: development and/or advancement of simulation related technologies and/or frameworks.

Policy: Development of management or policy principles and practices that have facilitated growth in the use of simulation.

Standards: Leadership in the development of nationally or internationally recognised standards that have led to increased use of simulation

Education: Development of educational theory or programs for education in simulation which have contributed to Australia's simulation capability, or publication of books or articles that share information.

Management and Leadership: Creation or growing of organisation(s) that have enhanced Australia's simulation capability, creating new directions and opening new fields for the use of simulation. This includes work that has promoted and grown the simulation community as a whole.

Overall Assessment: Recommended / Not Recommended

Signature and Date:
